

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
AT CHARLESTON**

**IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR  
SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL  
CASES**

**Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327  
MDL No. 2327**

**JOSEPH R. GOODWIN  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE**

**RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS'  
"NOTICE TO TAKE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF LAURA ANGELINI" AND  
ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Defendants Ethicon, Inc. ("Ethicon") and Johnson & Johnson ("J&J") (collectively, "Defendants") hereby respond and object to Plaintiffs' "Notice to Take Video Deposition of Laura Angelini" (the "Notice") and Exhibit A to the same. The Notice was filed and served on May 20, 2013, and the deposition of Ms. Angelini is noticed for July 11, 2013. Exhibit A to the Notice is a request for production of documents. Both the Notice and Exhibit A to the Notice are attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

The responses and objections contained herein are made without in any way waiving or intending to waive—but on the contrary reserving and intending to reserve—the right at any time to revise, supplement, correct, or add to these objections and responses. Defendants note that no documents have been withheld from production on the basis of the objections set forth in this Response unless expressly stated.

**SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS  
TO NOTICE AND EXHIBIT A**

**Document Request No. 1:** All documents relied upon by the deponent in preparing for this deposition.

**Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 1:** Defendants object that this request seeks information protected by the attorney work product doctrine. *See, e.g., Hickman v. Taylor*, 329 U.S. 495, 511 (1947); *In re Allen*, 106 F.3d 582, 608 (4th Cir. 1997) (observing that “choice and arrangement [of documents in witness’s personnel file by counsel for witness] constitutes opinion work product because [counsel’s] section and compilation of these particular documents reveals her thought processes and theories regarding this litigation”); *Rhodes v. E.I du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 558 F. Supp. 2d 660, 671 (S.D. W. Va. 2008) (Goodwin, C.J.) (“Courts acknowledge that the document selection process represents the mental impressions of the party’s counsel and is protected work product.” (internal quotation marks and alterations omitted)). Subject to and without waiving any objection, Defendants note that each and every document relied upon by the deponent in preparing for this deposition has already been produced to Plaintiffs.

**Document Request No. 2:** A copy of the current resume and/or curriculum vitae for the deponent.

**Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 2:** Defendants object that there is no Rule of Civil Procedure that requires a witness to create a resume or curriculum vitae for production. In an effort to cooperate and facilitate this deposition, however, Defendants will provide Plaintiffs a copy of the deponent’s current resume to the extent one exists.

**Document Request No. 3:** A copy of the personnel file and/or employment file for the deponent.

**Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 3:** Defendants object that the personnel file includes confidential, personal information, the disclosure of which would result in an unnecessary intrusion into the privacy of the deponent. Specifically, the personnel file includes compensation records that are private and have no relevancy to the issues in litigation. The disclosure of this information would substantially intrude on the witness's legitimate privacy interests. Accordingly, Defendants have agreed to produce a copy of the personnel file from the deponent's employment with Defendants, but will redact any information regarding the deponent's compensation. *See, e.g., Robinson v. Quicken Loans, Inc.*, No. 3:12-cv-00981, 2013 WL 500237 (S.D. W. Va. Feb. 11, 2013) (authorizing production of redacted personnel files).

**Document Request No. 4:** All documents relating to the TVT products that are in the deponent's personal possession, meaning documents stored or maintained in the deponent's dwelling, home, garage, or any other property owned, rented, leased, maintained or lived in by defendant [sic] as well as any documents or electronic media related to the TVT products which is stored or maintained on the defendant's [sic] personal property including but not limited to, personal computers, cell phones, flash drives, or any potable [sic] storage media device.

**Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 4:** Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection process and would have been or will be produced. To the extent that additional documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled

depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

**Document Request No. 5:** All documents, notes, videos, or other information relating to TVT products that the deponent sponsored, supported, edited, posted, and/or linked websites, FaceBook [sic] pages, MySpace pages, Twitter pages, Wikipedia, or pages on any other websites.

**Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 5:** Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection process and would have been or will be produced. To the extent that additional documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

ETHICON, INC. AND  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON

*/s/ David B. Thomas*

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731)  
Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC  
300 Summers Street, Suite 1380  
P.O. Box 3824  
Charleston, WV 25338-3824  
(304) 414-1800

*/s/ Christy D. Jones*

Christy D. Jones  
Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC  
1020 Highland Colony Parkway  
Suite 1400 (39157)  
P.O. Box 6010  
Ridgeland, MS 39158-6010  
(601) 985-4523

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
AT CHARLESTON**

<b>IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION</b>  <hr/> <b>THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES</b>	<b>Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL No. 2327</b>  <b>JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE</b>
---	---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David B. Thomas, certify that on May 30, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the CM/ECF participants registered to receive service in this MDL.

/s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731)  
Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC  
300 Summers Street, Suite 1380  
P.O. Box 3824  
Charleston, WV 25338-3824  
(304) 414-1800  
[dthomas@tcspllc.com](mailto:dthomas@tcspllc.com)